



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,152	03/01/2002	Rene P. Helbing	10004263-1	2625

7590 08/10/2005  
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
P.O. Box 7599  
Loveland, CO 80537-0599

EXAMINER

TRAN, DZUNG D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2638

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/087,152

Applicant(s)

HELBING ET AL.

Examiner

Dzung D Tran

Art Unit

2633

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03/01/2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Specification***

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-8, 11-16, 19-23 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kashihara et al. (hereinafter Kashihara) US Patent no. 6,567,587.

Regarding claim 1, Kashihara discloses an apparatus for spectral dispersion compensation in an optical communication network, comprising:

at least one optical fiber 15 of figure 2 that carrying a light beam  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  having different wavelengths, see col. 3, line 12, (equivalent to optical medium having a signal distributed over a plurality of wavelengths), a portion of the signal on each wavelength (e.g. since the light beam is a multiplexed signal having wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , see col. 3, line 12, thus a portion of the light beam on each wavelength  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2, \dots$  or  $\lambda_n$ );

a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 having input waveguide 3 (col. 2, line 48) adapted to receive the plurality of wavelengths from the optical fiber 15 and

Art Unit: 2633

**divide** the plurality of wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , see col. 3, line 12, into individual wavelength  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ . Kashihara discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 (col. 4, lines 65-66) for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16 to adjust the delay time of the Bragg grating so that each wavelength relatively to reduce inter wavelength spectral dispersion and to synchronize each portion of the signal with respect to time across the plurality of wavelength (e.g, the array waveguide grating (AWG) 5 having different waveguide in length for synchronize different wavelengths travel through the array waveguide 5). Furthermore, the dispersion compensation of Kashihara is constructed as same as the claimed dispersion compensation, thus, it would be inherently that it is capable to reduce inter-wavelength spectral dispersion; and

a **multiplexer/demultiplexer** 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 adapted to receive each wavelength  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  and **combine** the wavelengths onto the waveguide 3 then output to optical medium 15.

Regarding claims 13 and 20, Kashihara discloses an apparatus/method for spectral dispersion compensation in an optical network, comprising:

an optical fiber 15 of figure 2 that carrying a WDM signal for supplying a signal distributed over a plurality of wavelengths to a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a demultiplexer); a portion of the signal on each wavelength (e.g. since the light beam is a multiplexed signal having wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , see col. 3, line 12, thus a portion of the light beam on each wavelength  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2, \dots$  or  $\lambda_n$ );

Art Unit: 2633

a multiplexer/**demultiplexer** 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 for **dividing** the plurality of wavelengths into individual wavelengths  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2$ , ... or  $\lambda_n$ ;

Kashihara further discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 (col. 4, lines 65-66) for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ...  $\lambda_n$ , Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16 to adjust the delay time of the Bragg grating so that each wavelength relatively to reduce inter wavelength spectral dispersion and to synchronize each portion of the signal with respect to time across the plurality of wavelength (e.g, the array waveguide grating (AWG) 5 having different waveguide in length for synchronize different wavelengths travel through the array waveguide 5). Furthermore, the dispersion compensation of Kashihara is constructed as same as the claimed dispersion compensation, thus, it would be inherently that it is capable to reduce inter-wavelength spectral dispersion; and

a **multiplexer**/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 for **combining** each wavelength onto an optical medium.

Regarding claims 2 and 21, Kashihara discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ...  $\lambda_n$  (col. 4, lines 65-66), Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16, to adjust the delay time of the Bragg grating so that each wavelength relatively to reduce inter wavelength spectral dispersion. Furthermore, the dispersion compensation of Kashihara is constructed as same as the claimed dispersion

compensation, thus, it would be inherently that it is capable to reduce inter-wavelength spectral dispersion.

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Kashihara discloses the dispersion compensation element 7b is a Bragg grating (col. 3, lines 29, 37).

Regarding claim 4, Kashihara discloses in col. 5, lines 3-4, the using of a fiber Bragg grating for dispersion compensation.

Regarding claim 5, Kashihara discloses in col. 4, lines 65-66, the dispersion compensation elements 7b is a waveguide Bragg grating.

Regarding claim 6, Kashihara discloses a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to the multiplexer and the demultiplexer) are a surface diffraction grating (col. 2, lines 63-65).

Regarding claim 7, Kashihara discloses multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to the multiplexer and the demultiplexer) are an array waveguide (AWG) (col. 4, lines 19-21).

Regarding claims 8, 16 and 23, Kashihara discloses multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to the multiplexer and the demultiplexer) are an array waveguide (col. 4, lines 19-21) and the dispersion compensation elements are waveguide Bragg gratings (col. 4, lines 65-66) and the array waveguide and the waveguide Bragg gratings are combined on a single optical substrate 2 of figure 1.

Regarding claims 11, 19 and 26, Kashihara discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  (col. 4, lines 65-66), Kashihara also

Art Unit: 2633

discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16, for adjusting the delay time of the Bragg grating to compensate for each wavelength dispersion. Thus it would be inherently that the dispersion compensation element correlates the optical signal on each wavelength with respect to time.

Regarding claims 15 and 22, Kashihara discloses multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to the multiplexer and the demultiplexer) are an array waveguide (AWG) (col. 4, lines 19-21) and the dispersion compensation elements 7b is a waveguide Bragg grating (col. 4, lines 65-66).

Regarding claims 27 and 31, Kashihara discloses spectral dispersion compensator for an optical signal distributed over a plurality of wavelengths, the dispersion compensator comprising:

a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a demultiplexer) for spatially dividing an incoming optical signal according to the wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ ; the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  (col. 4, lines 65-66), and to synchronize each portion of the signal with respect to time across the plurality of wavelength (e.g, the array waveguide grating (AWG) 5 having different waveguide in length for synchronize different wavelengths travel through the array waveguide 5). Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16, for adjusting the delay time of the Bragg grating to compensate for wavelength dispersion; and

a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a multiplexer) for combining the wavelengths as adjusted into an outgoing optical signal.

Regarding claims 28 and 32, Kashihara discloses an optical coupler 11 for coupling the incoming optical signal from a first optical fiber 13 to the multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a demultiplexer) and for coupling the outgoing optical signal from the a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a multiplexer) into a second optical fiber 14.

Regarding claim 29, Kashihara further discloses the optical coupler 11 is an optical circulator (figure 2, col. 5, line 17).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9, 17, 24, 30 and 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashihara et al. US Patent no. 6,567,587 in view of Richardson et al. US Patent no. 6,628,864.

Regarding claims 9, 17, 24, 30 and 33, as per claims above, Kashihara discloses all the limitations except for the optical network is an optical code division multiple access (OCDMA) network. Richardson discloses the OCDMA optical network (figure 7)

Art Unit: 2633

having OCDMA coder 275 and 260 (col. 11, lines 59-60), which generate the OCDMA code for modulating with an optical signal then transmit the OCDMA coded signal to the transmission link 300 (col. 11, lines 61-67). Since CDMA or CDMA for optical telecommunication (i.e. OCDMA) is well recognized in the art for spreading spectrum technique that permits a large number of separate users to share the same extended transmission bandwidth but to be individually addressable through the allocation of specific address codes (col. 1, lines 24-28 of Richardson), it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to implement the teaching of Richardson that is encoding the OCDMA in the high speed and large capacity optical communication system of Kashihara (col. 1, lines 13-14 of Kashihara). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to improve cross-talk performance, asynchronous access and potential for improved system security (col. 1, lines 48-51 of Richardson).

Regarding claims 34 and 35, Kashihara discloses an apparatus for spectral dispersion compensation in an optical communication network, comprising:

a multiplexer/**demultiplexer** 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 having input waveguide 3 (col. 2, line 48) adapted to receive the plurality of wavelengths from the optical fiber 15 and **divide** the plurality of wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , see col. 3, line 12, into individual wavelength  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ . Kashihara discloses in figure 2, a dispersion compensation 1 (col. 2, line 46) (equivalent to dispersion correction mean, see specification page 6, lines 4-6) for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , Kashihara also

Art Unit: 2633

discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16 to adjust the delay time of the Bragg grating for wavelength dispersion compensation; and

a **multiplexer**/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 adapted to receive each wavelength  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  and **combine** the wavelengths onto the waveguide 3 then output to optical medium 15.

Kashihara does not disclose the optical network is an optical code division multiple access (OCDMA) network. Richardson discloses the OCDMA optical network (figure 7) having OCDMA coder 275 and 260 (col. 11, lines 59-60), which generate the OCDMA code for modulating with an optical signal then transmit the OCDMA coded signal to the transmission link 300 (col. 11, lines 61-67). Since CDMA or CDMA for optical telecommunication (i.e. OCDMA) is well recognized in the art for spreading spectrum technique that permits a large number of separate users to share the same extended transmission bandwidth but to be individually addressable through the allocation of specific address codes (col. 1, lines 24-28 of Richardson), it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to implement the teaching of Richardson that is encoding the OCDMA in the high speed and large capacity optical communication system of Kashihara (col. 1, lines 13-14 of Kashihara). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to improve cross-talk performance, asynchronous access and potential for improved system security (col. 1, lines 48-51 of Richardson).

Regarding claim 36, Kashihara discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  (col. 4, lines 65-66).

Regarding claim 37, Kashihara discloses the dispersion compensation element 7b is a Bragg grating (col. 3, lines 29, 37).

Regarding claim 38, Kashihara discloses a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a multiplexer) serving as both the multiplexer means and the demultiplexer means.

Regarding claim 39, Kashihara discloses multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to the multiplexer) and the Bragg gratings are combined on a single optical substrate (figure 1, element 2).

5. Claims 10, 18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashihara et al. US Patent no. 6,567,587 in view of Miyauchi et al. US Patent no. 6,570,691.

Regarding claims 10, 18 and 25, as per claims above, Kashihara discloses all the limitations except for the dispersion compensation element is located at an endpoint of the optical communication network. Miyauchi discloses an optical transmission system having a dispersion compensation element 14 located at the receiver 7. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to implement the teaching of Miyauchi that is impose the dispersion compensation element at the receiver side of the optical communication system of Kashihara. One of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2633

the art would have been motivated to do this since the advantage of using the dispersion compensation element (or Bragg grating) is that the amount of reflectivity of the grating can be chosen so as to provide a desired output coupling, which can be used to optimize output power, efficiency and improve cross-talk performance at the receiving end.

### ***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 05/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

**A Rejection of claims 1-8, 11-16, 19-23 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kashihara et al. (hereinafter Kashihara) US Patent no. 6,567,587.**

Applicant argues Kashihara does not disclose or suggest a **demultiplexer** adapted to receive the plurality of wavelengths and **divide** the plurality of wavelengths into individual wavelengths, the individual wavelengths relatively delayed to reduce inter-wavelength spectral dispersion and to synchronize each portion of the signal with respect to time across the plurality of wavelength. However, Kashihara clearly discloses a multiplexer/**demultiplexer** 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 for **dividing** the plurality of wavelengths into individual wavelengths  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2$ , ... or  $\lambda_n$  and the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 (col. 4, lines 65-66) for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ...  $\lambda_n$ , Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line

Art Unit: 2633

37 to col. 4, line 16 to adjust the delay time of the Bragg grating so that each wavelength relatively to reduce inter wavelength spectral dispersion and to synchronize each portion of the signal with respect to time across the plurality of wavelength (e.g., the array waveguide grating (AWG) 5 having different waveguide in length for synchronize different wavelengths travel through the array waveguide 5). Furthermore, the dispersion compensation of Kashihara is constructed as same as the claimed dispersion compensation, thus, it would be inherently that it is capable to reduce inter-wavelength spectral dispersion.

Applicant further argues Kashihara does not disclose or suggest simultaneously altering the relative timing among the wavelengths using dispersion compensation element and to synchronize each portion of the signal with respect to time across the plurality of wavelength. However, Kashihara clearly discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 (col. 4, lines 65-66) for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16 to adjust the delay time of the Bragg grating so that each wavelength relatively to reduce inter wavelength spectral dispersion and to synchronize each portion of the signal with respect to time across the plurality of wavelength (e.g, the array waveguide grating (AWG) 5 having different waveguide in length for synchronize different wavelengths travel through the array waveguide 5). Furthermore, the dispersion compensation of Kashihara is constructed as same as the claimed dispersion compensation, thus, it would be inherently that it is capable to reduce inter-wavelength spectral dispersion.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation is based in in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

***Conclusion***

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3025.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-3022.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.



Dzung Tran

05/06/2005